



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,585	03/18/2004	Kathleen Nylund Jackson	312.005US1	7261
70243	7590	05/14/2008		
NIXON PEABODY LLP 161 N CLARK ST. 48TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60601-3213			EXAMINER HU, KANG	
			ART UNIT 3714	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/14/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/804,585

**Applicant(s)**

JACKSON, KATHLEEN NYLUND

**Examiner**

KANG HU

**Art Unit**

3714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10, 15-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10, 15-21 and 23-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/17/2008
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Present office action is in response to amendment filed 2/13/2008. Claims 1-28 are currently pending.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-8, 10, 15-21 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luciano, Jr. et al. (Us 6,887,154 B1) in view of Acres et al. (US 6,319,125).

Re claim 1, previously indicated in office action dated 10/9/2007, Luciano teaches a method of playing a gaming system played by a plurality of players where a jackpot is awarded, the method comprising: players playing an underlying wagering game in which wagers are made by players; when an outcome occurs in the underlying wagering game that identifies that a jackpot is to be awarded to at least one of said players, entering a special bonus event for all of said players presently playing the underlying wagering game (abstract, col 2: 20-67; col 3, 1-17; col 4, 29-60; col 6: 32-49), the special bonus event providing a chance to be awarded bonuses; However Luciano does not explicitly teach randomly selecting bonuses to be awarded in the special bonus event; and randomly selecting more than one but less than all of said players to be awarded the randomly selected bonuses, said randomly selected bonuses being in addition to any awards

Art Unit: 3714

some of said players may win continued play of the underlying wagering game. Luciano teaches that players who have an active status at the time of the progressive award triggering event are entitled to share in the secondary progressive prize (col 3: 1-13) are analogies to all of said players presently playing the underlying wagering game. Acres teaches when the player wins the game, a jackpot equaling some multiple of wager in the form of coins, tokens or credits are awarded. Additionally bonus prizes are awarded as part of bonus promotions, such bonus promotions can be tiered into a main bonus prize and multiple secondary bonus prizes, plus optional consolation prizes and similar combinations. Seven different forms and combinations of bonuses are awarded to the player including cash, participation (mystery) progressive and multiple jackpot, welcome back, match play and personal progressive bonus prizes; each of which are controlled by a different bonus server and separate from the jackpot prize. After specific trigger events, randomly selecting bonuses to be awarded in the special bonus event; and randomly selecting more than one but less than all of said players to be awarded the randomly selected bonuses). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have thought about the different distribution to attract additional players to the machine as stated by both Acres and Luciano.

Claims 2-8, 10, and 15-20 have been addressed in the previous action and are also rejected by Luciano in view of Acres, specific sections cited in the previous action.

Claims 21 and 23-28, the method of playing a gaming system played by a plurality of players where a jackpot is awarded is substantially the same as claims 1-8, 10, 15-20 discussed above and will not be repeated herein.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7 and 8, filed 2/13/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8, 10, 15-21 and 23-28 under Luciano in view of Acres have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Acres '125.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KANG HU whose telephone number is (571)270-1344. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kang Hu/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/Ronald Laneau/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714  
05/12/08